

United States Patent and Trademark Office

-Q

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,946	07/16/2003	Donald P. Chevalier	7939A-000028	8598
27572 7	590 02/17/2005		EXAMINER	
•	DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.	SWENSON, BRIAN L		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/620,946	CHEVALIER ET A	CHEVALIER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Brian Swenson	3618		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ad	dress	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	35(a). In no event, howeve within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI cause the application to be	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co scome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
Status					
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Do</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		e merits is	
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠ 5)□	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	vn from considerati	·		
Applicat	ion Papers		-		
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in ion is required if the o	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Irawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	` '	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been receiv s have been receiv ity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National)).	Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 12/4/03.	5) <u> </u>	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date htice of Informal Patent Application (PTC her:)-152)	

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: there is no teaching found for what comprises, "machine fasteners" as claimed in claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear what "machine fasteners" comprise. The instant specification provides antecedent basis for, "fasteners, welding, or use of an adhesive or snap-fit" in paragraph 24 of page 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3618

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claim 1, 3-7, 10 and claim 8, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,193,829 issued to Holloway et al.

Holloway et al. teaches in Figures 1-6 and respective portions of the specification of a two-component (70,92) running board for a vehicle comprising: a unitary lower support structure (70), said structure attaching to a motor vehicle in a generally parallel orientation to said vehicle (Figure 1), positioned below the passenger doors of the vehicle (Figure 1), said structure having a plurality of integral walls (74,76), a plurality of crossing walls (78,80) positioned such as to provide rigidity to the structure; a step cover (92), said cover attaching to said supporting structure having a generally planar stepping surface on a top side thereof; wherein when said support structure is mounted to a vehicle and step cover is attached to said supporting structure forming the running board assembly, the running board assembly can support the weight of at least one vehicle passenger.

In regards to claim 3, Holloway et al. teach the lower support structure comprises at least one integral mounting means (see Figure 4), attaching said support structure to a vehicle (Figure 4), said mounting means supporting the weight of the running board assembly and at least one vehicle passenger.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,946

Art Unit: 3618

In regards to claim 4, Holloway et al. teach the lower support structure comprises an integral means (86,90,88,94) of attaching said step cover Figure 6.

In regards to claim 5, Holloway et al. teach of the step cover is of a second moldable material (Col. 3, line 32), and is attached to the lower support structure by a fastening means (Figure 6).

In regards to claim 6, Holloway et al. teach step cover further comprises an antislip means on said stepping surface (rubber material, Col. 3, line 32; see also treads 102; Figure 6).

In regards to claim 7 and claim 8 as best understood, Holloway et al. teach wherein said fastening means comprises a set of locking tabs (94,96,98,100) integrated in said step cover, for being received in a complementary set of locking slots (84,86,88,90) in said lower support structure (Figure 6), that form a snap fit connection.

In regards to claim 10, Holloway et al. teach multiple-piece running board for a vehicle comprising: at least one lower support structure (70), said structure attaching to a motor vehicle in a generally parallel orientation to said vehicle (Figure 1), positioned below the passenger doors of the vehicle (Figure 1), said structure having a plurality of integral walls (74,76), a plurality of crossing walls (78,80), said lower support structure comprising an integral means of attaching a step cover (92), and further comprising an integral mounting means of attaching said support structure to the vehicle (Figure 4); at least one step cover (92), said cover attaching to said supporting structure having a generally planar stepping surface on a top side thereof (Figure 6), said step cover further comprises an anti-slip means (treads 102) on said stepping surface and an

integral means of securing said cover to said mounting structure (tabs 94-100 fit within slots 84-90); wherein when said support structure is mounted to a vehicle and step cover is attached to said supporting structure forming the running board assembly, the running board assembly is able to support the weight of at least one vehicle passenger.

4. Claim 1-6 and 9-10 and claim 8, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0006576 issued to Lanoue et al.

Lanoue et al. teach in Figures 1-12 and respective portions of the specification of a two-component (102,104) running board for a vehicle comprising: a unitary lower support structure (102), said structure attaching to a motor vehicle in a generally parallel orientation to said vehicle positioned below the passenger doors of the vehicle (not shown, a running board is inherently placed below the door to allow the ingress/egress of the passenger into and out of the vehicle), said structure having a plurality of integral walls, a plurality of crossing walls (see Figure 4 where a plurality of longitudinal and crossing walls are shown) positioned such as to provide rigidity to the structure; a step cover (104), said cover attaching to said supporting structure having a generally planar stepping surface on a top side thereof (Figure 4); wherein when said support structure is mounted to a vehicle and step cover is attached to said supporting structure forming the running board assembly, the running board assembly can support the weight of at least one vehicle passenger.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,946

Art Unit: 3618

In regards to claim 2 Lanoue et al. teach the lower support structure is made of a first moldable material (see at least paragraph [0040]), and has a generally planar bottom surface (Figure 5).

In regards to claim 3, Lanoue et al. teach the lower support structure comprises at least one integral mounting means (attachment brackets in Figure 4), attaching said support structure to a vehicle, said mounting means supporting the weight of the running board assembly and at least one vehicle passenger.

In regards to claim 4, Lanoue et al. teach the lower support structure comprises an integral means (welding of element 106) of attaching said step cover.

In regards to claim 5 Lanoue et al. teach of the step cover is of a second moldable material (lines 1-5 of paragraph [0041], and is attached to the lower support structure by a fastening means (welded).

In regards to claim 6, Lanoue et al. teach step cover further comprises an antislip means on said stepping surface (anti-skid ribs are shown without a label in Figure 4, they are labeled as element 38 in Figure 1).

In regards to Claim 8 as best understood, Lanoue et al. teach of welding.

In regards to claim 9 Lanoue et al. teach wherein said fastening means comprises a weld, see step 50; Figure 12 and lines 1-5 of paragraph [0041] and paragraph's [0058] through [0067].

In regards to claim 10, Lanoue et al. teach multiple-piece running board for a vehicle comprising: at least one lower support structure (102), said structure attaching to a motor vehicle in a generally parallel orientation to said vehicle, positioned below the

Art Unit: 3618

passenger doors of the vehicle (not shown, a running board is inherently placed below the door to allow the ingress/egress of the passenger into and out of the vehicle), said structure having a plurality of integral walls, a plurality of crossing walls (see Figure 4 where a plurality of longitudinal and crossing walls are shown), said lower support structure comprising an integral means of attaching a step cover (104), and further comprising an integral mounting means of attaching said support structure to the vehicle (see attachment brackets shown in Figures 4 and 5); at least one step cover (104), said cover attaching to said supporting structure having a generally planar stepping surface on a top side thereof (see Figure 4), said step cover further comprises an anti-slip means (anti-skid ribs are shown without a label in Figure 4, they are labeled as element 38 in Figure 1) on said stepping surface and an integral means of securing said cover to said mounting structure (welded; see step 50; Figure 12 and lines 1-5 of paragraph [0041] and paragraph's [0058] through [0067]); wherein when said support structure is mounted to a vehicle and step cover is attached to said supporting structure forming the running board assembly, the running board assembly is able to support the weight of at least one vehicle passenger.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 6,412,799 issued to Schrempf teaches of a running board with a plurality of side and cross walls for support, see at least Figure 2.

- U.S. Patent No. 6,588,782 issued to Coomber et al. teaches of a molded running board.
 - U.S. Patent No. 4,203,611 issued to Makela teaches of a running board.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,581,946 issued to Lund et al. teach of a running board with a lower shroud (22) and an upper section (66).
- U.S. Patent No. 6,688,621 issued to Benirschke teaches of a component running board.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Swenson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8163. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (703) 305-0168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 9

SIS 1/1.05

bls

Examiner Art Unit 3618

TECHTOTOM CONTROL